

**JOSH M REID**

City Attorney

Nevada Bar No. 7497

**NANCY D. SAVAGE**

Assistant City Attorney

Nevada Bar No. 392

240 Water Street, MSC 144

Henderson, NV 89015

(702) 267-1200 Telephone

(702) 267-1201 Facsimile

nancy.savage@cityofhenderson.com

Attorney for Defendants

CITY OF HENDERSON, CHIEF JUTTA CHAMBERS

And OFFICER JOSEPH W. EBERT

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ADAM BROOKS,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF HENDERSON; CHIEF JAMES  
WHITE, in his capacity as Interim Chief of  
the Henderson Police Department;  
OFFICER JOSEPH W. EBERT, individually;  
DOES 1 through 10, inclusive;

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 2:14-cv-00374-GMN-GWF

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY DISCOVERY PENDING RULING ON  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS**

COME NOW, Plaintiff ADAM BROOKS, by and through his attorneys, Cal J. Potter, III, Esq., and C.J. Potter, IV, Esq. of Potter Law Offices; and Defendants, CITY OF HENDERSON, OFFICER JOSEPH W. EBERT and CHIEF JAMES WHITE (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their attorneys, Josh M. Reid, Henderson City Attorney and Nancy D. Savage, Assistant City Attorney for the CITY OF HENDERSON, and HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE as follows:

1 On June 11, 2014, Plaintiff filed his Amended Complaint (Doc. 8) in the above-  
2 entitled action. Plaintiff alleges therein a 42 USC § 1983 claim against Defendants Officer  
3 EBERT, former Henderson Police Chief WHITE, a claim for municipal liability against the  
4 CITY, a claim for supervisory liability against former Chief WHITE and claims under state  
5 law for malicious prosecution and false arrest.

6 On July 10, 2014, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 17) which seeks  
7 dismissal of each of the claims alleged against each of the Defendants for failure to state a  
8 claim upon which relief can be granted. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss further seeks  
9 dismissal premised upon Defendants' claim of qualified immunity, which if sustained,  
10 would immunize the individual Defendants from suit.

11 Plaintiff filed his opposition (Doc. 18) on July 28, 2014. The Defendants' Reply was  
12 filed on August 7, 2014. The parties held a Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference on October 3,  
13 2014, pursuant to this Honorable Court's Order (Doc. 20).

14 Dismissal of any portion or all of Plaintiff's claims against some or all of the  
15 Defendants would have a substantial impact on the scope and course of the discovery to  
16 be pursued by the parties in this case. It would also affect the cost incurred by the parties  
17 for engaging in discovery.

18 The parties desire a stay of discovery until a ruling has been received on the  
19 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss so that they do not have to incur costs for engaging in  
20 discovery made unnecessary by the court's decision. The court's decision on the Motion  
21 to Dismiss may narrow the focus of discovery in the case.

22 . . .

23 . . .

24 . . .

For the foregoing reasons the parties STIPULATE AND AGREE to stay all discovery and the filing of the Discovery Plan and Proposed Scheduling Order until the Court rules on the pending Motion to Dismiss.

This request is not made for the purposes of delay, but to avoid having to engage in costly discovery in a matter that may ultimately be dismissed by the Court or in which qualified immunity may be found to apply. The purpose of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) is to enable defendants to challenge the legal sufficiency of a complaint without subjecting themselves to discovery. Rutman Wine Co. v. E & J Gallo Winery, 829 F.2d 729, 738 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987).

DATED this 16th day of October, 2014.

POTTER LAW OFFICES

CITY OF HENDERSON

JOSH M. REID, City Attorney

/s/ Cal J. Potter

/s/ Nancy D. Savage

CAL J. POTTER, III, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 1988  
1125 Shadow Lane  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102  
Attorney for Plaintiff

JOSH M. REID, ESQ.  
City Attorney  
Nevada Bar No. 7497  
NANCY D. SAVAGE, ESQ.  
Assistant City Attorney  
Nevada Bar No. 392  
240 Water Street, MSC 144  
Henderson, Nevada 89009  
Attorney for Defendants

**ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of October, 2014.

\_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE